1 2	MICHAEL A. CONGER, ESQUIRE (State Bar No. 147882) LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. CONGER 16236 San Dieguito Road, Suite 4-14			
3	Mailing: P.O. Box 9374 Rancho Santa Fe, California 92067			
4	Telephone: (858) 759-0200 Facsimile: (858) 759-1906			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Christopher Ellis, Bradley I) Elow		
6	Robert Finch and Howard LaBore individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF SAN DIEGO		
10	CHRISTOPHER ELLIS, BRADLEY D. ELOW, ROBERT FINCH and HOWARD LaBORE,) CASE NO: 37-2010-00086284- CU-PN-CTL		
11	individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated.) CU-FN-CIL		
12)) DECLIFET FOR HIDIOIAL NOTICE		
13	Plaintiffs,) REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'		
14	v. JACKSON, DeMARCO, TIDUS &) MOTION FOR CLASS) CERTIFICATION		
15	PECKENPAUGH, A LAW CORPORATION, GREGORY GLENN PETERSEN, an individual,			
16	CHRISTOPHER D. NISSEN, an individual,			
17	BRADLEY MATHEWS, an individual, STEPHENEY R. WINDSOR, an individual, Date: November 19, 2010			
18	MICHAEL ANTHONY JENKINS, an individual, and DOES 1-50,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Ronald S. Prager		
19	Defendants.	Dept: C-71 Complaint Filed: February 24, 2010		
20) Trial: July 15, 2011		
21	Pursuant to Evidence Code section 452, subdivisions (a), (b), (c) and (d), and section 453,			
22	plaintiffs Christopher Ellis, Bradley D. Elow, Robert Finch and Howard LaBore respectfully			
23	requests this Court to take judicial notice of the following document:			
24	Exhibit 1: Order: (1) Granting City and	Individual Defendants' Motions for		
25	Summary Judgment Regardii	ng 2005 Negotiations; (2) Granting Aguirre's		
26	Motion for Summary Judgme	ent; (3) Granting in Part and Denying in Part		
27	city and Individual Defendan	ts' Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding		
28		ranting in Part and Denying in Part SDCERS'		
ļ				

11			
1		Motion for Summary Judgment on Grounds Other than Statute of	
2	·	Limitations; (6) Denying SDCERS' Motion for Summary Judgment	
3		Regarding the Statute of Limitations; (7) Denying Plaintiff's Motions for	
4		Summary Judgment Regarding Defendants' Affirmative Defenses, filed	
5		May 18, 2007, in San Diego Police Officers' Association v. Michael	
6		Aguirre, et al. United States District Court Case No. 05-CV-1581 H	
7		(POR);	
8	Exhibit 2:	Order Granting Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment With	
9		Respect to Plaintiffs' Federal Claims and Dismissing Without Prejudice	
10		Plaintiffs' State Claims, filed September 3, 2008, in Erica Aaron, et al. v.	
11.		Michael Aguirre, et al., in United States District Court Case No. 06-CV-	
12		1451 H (POR);	
13	Exhibit 3:	San Diego Municipal Code, Article 4, Division 12, sections 24.1201	
14		through 24.1204;	
15	Exhibit 4:	San Diego Municipal Code, Article 4, Division 1, sections 24.0100	
16		through 24.0707;	
17	Exhibit 5:	Order filed August 3, 2010, in Marcus R. Abbe, et al. v. City of San Diego,	
18	,	California, United States District Court Case Nos. 09-56437, 09-56444,	
19		10-55087 and 10-55089; and	
20	Exhibit 6:	Notice of Appearance filed by Gregory G. Petersen on August 13, 2010, in	
21	,	Marcus R. Abbe, et al. v. City of San Diego, California, United States	
22	District Court Case Nos. 09-56437.		
23			
24	Dated: October 15, 2	010 LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. CONGER	
25			
26		By:	
27	·	Michael A. Conger Attorney for Plaintiffs	
28	,		
	1		